

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TAHA ABOURAMADAN,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01206-APG-BNW

**Order Granting City of Walnut's Motion
to Dismiss**

[ECF No. 20]

8 Defendant City of Walnut filed a motion to dismiss the claims against it. ECF No. 20.
9 Plaintiff Taha Abouramadan has not responded to the motion, and the deadline to do so has
10 passed despite my giving Abouramadan a significant extension of the original deadline. *See* ECF
11 No. 56. "The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to a[] motion
12 . . . constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." Local Rule 7-2(d). And, the motion is
13 supported by good cause.

14 I THEREFORE ORDER that defendant City of Walnut's motion to dismiss (**ECF No.**
15 **20) is GRANTED.** The claims asserted in this case against it are dismissed without prejudice.

16 DATED this 24th day of February, 2021.

17 

18 ANDREW P. GORDON
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23